Judicial Review Claim Form

Notes for guidance are available which explain how to complete the judicial review claim form. Please read them carefully before you complete the form.

For Court use only				
Administrative Court Reference No.				
Date filed				

In the High Court of Justice Administrative Court



SECTION 1 Details of the claimant(s) and defendant(s)

Claimant(s) name and ad	ldress(es)		1st Defendant		
Stafford William Gillies Freeborn			Defendant's or (where known) Defendant's solicitors' address to which documents should be sent.		
			Mr. Oliver Carr		
Telephone no.	Fax no.		Independent Police Complaints Commission 90 High Holborn London WC1 6BH		plaints Commission
E-mail address					
Claimant's or claimant's s documents should be ser		:h	Telephone no. 08453 002 002		Fax no. 020 7242 4695
			E-mail address		
address-			2nd Defendant		
Telephone no.	Fax no.		Defendant's or (where kn		
-E-mail address			name		
Claimant's Counsel's deta	ails		address—		
-address					
			Telephone no.		Fax no.
			E-mail address		
Telephone no.	Fax no.				
E-mail address)				

-name		name		
address——————————————————————————————————		address		
Telephone no.	Fax no.	Telephone no.		Fax no.
E-mail address		E-mail address—		
Decision: The decision by Mr. O request for dispensation	Oliver Carr in his l	letter dated 18 Februar	ry 2010 to su	pport the Sussex polic
I was informed by his l	letter dated 18 Fe	bruary 2010 recd. by m	ne 19 Februa	ry 2010
Name and address of the co	urt, tribunal, person o	or body who made the decisi	ion to be review	/ed.
name		90 High H	olhorn	
Police Complaints Con	nmission		VC1V 6BH	
Police Complaints Consider the Permission am seeking permission to p	to proceed with	London V	VC1V 6BH	~
SECTION 4 Permission	to proceed with proceed with my claim	London Volume a claim for judicial revenue for Judicial Review.	VC1V 6BH	□No
SECTION 4 Permission am seeking permission to p	a to proceed with proceed with my claim pplications? If Yes, cor	a claim for judicial reventor Judicial Review. mplete Section 7.	vC1V 6BH	□ No X No
SECTION 4 Permission am seeking permission to p Are you making any other ap s the claimant in receipt of a	or to proceed with proceed with proceed with my claim oplications? If Yes, con Community Legal Set urgency, or do you nime scale? If Yes, cor	London War a claim for judicial revent for Judicial Review. Implete Section 7. Hervice Fund (CLSF) Hered this application	view X Yes	
am seeking permission to pare you making any other appets the claimant in receipt of a certificate? Are you claiming exceptional determined within a certain to	or to proceed with proceed with proceed with my claim oplications? If Yes, con a Community Legal Selection or do you naime scale? If Yes, corpore-action protocol? If	a claim for judicial reventor for Judicial Review. Implete Section 7. Intervice Fund (CLSF) Intervice ed this application may be a section of the section	vC1V 6BH view XYes Yes	X No
am seeking permission to pare you making any other aparetificate? Are you claiming exceptional determined within a certain tille this with your application.	or to proceed with proceed with proceed with my claim oplications? If Yes, con a Community Legal Selection or do you naime scale? If Yes, corpore-action protocol? If	a claim for judicial reventor for Judicial Review. Implete Section 7. Intervice Fund (CLSF) Intervice ed this application may be a section of the section	vC1V 6BH view XYes Yes	X No
am seeking permission to pare you making any other apas the claimant in receipt of a certificate? Are you claiming exceptional determined within a certain tille this with your application.	to proceed with proceed with proceed with my claim polications? If Yes, con a Community Legal Solution or do you naime scale? If Yes, concere-action protocol? It below.	a claim for judicial reventor for Judicial Review. Implete Section 7. Intervice Fund (CLSF) Intervice Fund (CLSF) Intervice Form N463 and Interview for the section of the	vC1V 6BH view XYes Yes	X No

SECTION 5 Detailed statement of grounds

Mr. Carr's assessment is evasive and at times deceitful.

attached

My complaints against the Sussex police have never been properly investigated.

X set out below

Mr. Carr had no right to do his own independent investigation. The PCC had assigned the invest to Sussex police. When they asked for dispensation to drop the investigation he should have located their reasons and decided whether they were acceptable and in compliance with his instructions May 2009, and answer accordingly. If the PCC wanted to take over the investigation they should done so formally. For him to behave the way he did was a blatant abuse of procedure.						
Under the Police Reform Act 2002 paragraph 4 (1) (b) Schedule 3, because the complaints allege dishonesty in senior officers, the PCC should not have given complaints to Sussex police in the first place.						
SECTION 6 Details of remedy (including any interim remedy) being sought						
I want the court to overrule Mr. Carr's decision and appoint another police force or "appropriate authority" as set out in the Police Reform Act 2002 to carry out a full and proper investigation of my complaints.						
In view of Mr. Carr's behaviour I do not consider that the PCC would be an appropriate authority.						
SECTION 7 Other applications						
I wish to make an application for:-						
The Court may wish to delay deciding this application until my complaint against the Ministry for Justice currently with the Parliamentary Ombudsman is decided and also await the Ombudsman's decision on my complaint against the Minister for police at the Home Office. See Tab 8						
and						
Former DI John Kevin May to be called as a witness.						
Mr. Terrence McCarthy-Fox to be called as a witness.						

SECTION 8 Statement of facts relied on

I submitted complaints to the PCC against Sussex police. These were sent to Sussex police to investigate on 6 July 2006. They applied for dispensation to drop the complaints. Eventually in April 2007 the PCC refused the application and instructed Sussex police to investigate. Mr. Alan Coole and Sgt. Nick Chambers met with me on 3 May 2007. Although he already had been given complete documentation by the PCC he requested that I write it out all over again for him, and added that I should include any other complaints that I had and name names. I did this and personally delivered the completed and bound folder to his office on 16 May 2007. So far as I know no investigation was done and I never received any 28 Day progress reports as required by police rules and promised by Coole on 3 May 2007. I heard absolutely nothing from Sussex police for over 10 months.

On 22 March 2008 I received a letter from DS Parrott that, as head of investigations, he had decided that "there will be no more investigation initiated from within this department". I mailed a complaint against this to the PCC that same day. On 15 May (7½ weeks later) I received a letter from Oliver Carr of the PCC enclosing an official appeal form. I completed the form and mailed it back to him that day. On 14 June I received a letter from Mr. Carr informing me he had upheld my appeal.

On 27 June 2008 I received a letter from DS Parrott informing me that he had decided "investment of any more time and resources investigating my complaints could not be justified. On 14 July 2008 I appealed to the PCC against this decision. On 30 May 2009 I received a letter from Mr. Carr stating he had upheld my appeal, a copy of that decision is in the bundle see Tab 1.

I heard nothing further from Sussex police till 7 November 2009 when I received a letter from DS Parrott informing me that he was once again applying to the PCC for a discontinuation of my complaints. See Tab 3. Since they received my original complaints on 6 July 2006 until that letter I am not aware that any investigation has ever been done and I certainly have never received any of the required 28 day progress reports. On 19 February 2010 I received a letter from Mr. Carr saying he had agreed to the application. See Tab 5

A detailed refutation by me of the reasons given by Mr. Carr is in a separate folder. I am not a lawyer and do not know British legal conventions on how this should be set out so I have done it in a narrative form that I think is clear, logical, and easily understandable.

I have taken the text of Mr. Carr's reasons set out in full size in Tab 5 and reproduced the text to 10 point Times New Roman type. My comments are inserted in the text at the appropriate places in 12 point Arial type.

Where I thought supporting documents should be included I have noted this and given their location in my comments. If I make any claim and do not include documentary proof please let me know what you want and you may be sure I will provide it.

Statement of Truth		
I believe (XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	ated in this claim form are true	
Name of claimant's solicitor's firm		
SignedClaimant (XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	Position or office held	(if signing on behalf of firm or company)

SECTION 9 Supporting documents

to be available and give reasons why it is not currently available in the box below. Please tick the papers you are filing with this claim form and any you will be filing later. X included attached X Statement of grounds X included attached X Statement of the facts relied on attached Application to extended the time limit for filing the claim form included attached included Application for directions Any written evidence in support of the claim or application to extend time $\overline{\mathbf{X}}$ Where the claim for judicial review relates to a decision of a court or tribunal, an approved copy of the reasons for reaching that decision X Copies of any documents on which the claimant proposes to rely A copy of the legal aid or CSLF certificate (if legally represented) Copies of any relevant statutory material A list of essential documents for advance reading by the court (with page references to the passages relied upon) Reasons why you have not supplied a document and date when you expect it to be available:-I have not yet received the Parliamentary Ombudsman's decision on my complaints against the Ministry for Justice and the Home Office. Details of what these complaints are and what they are about are set out in Tab 8. I have no idea when they will rule or whether or not I will ever receive answers. However, if they are decided in my favor it will have some effect on the issues raised in this application for Judicial Review. Claimant ('s Solicitor) Signed

If you do not have a document that you intend to use to support your claim, identify it, give the date when you expect it